UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS **HOUSTON DIVISION**

RENADO WYNCELER JAMES, CIVIL ACTION

Plaintiff, No. 4:20-cv-2655

\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$.

COMPLAINT v.

VANCE AND HUFFMAN, LLC, DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Defendants.

Plaintiff RENADO WYNCELER JAMES ("Plaintiff") as and for his Complaint against Defendant VANCE AND HUFFMAN, LLC ("Defendant") alleges and shows this Court the following:

I. INTRODUCTION

- 1. Plaintiff is RENADO WYNCELER JAMES (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff").
- 2. Defendant is VANCE AND HUFFMAN, LLC (hereinafter referred to as "Defendant").
- 3. This is a civil action for actual and statutory brought by Plaintiff RENADO WYNCELER JAMES, an individual consumer, against Defendant VANCE AND HUFFMAN, LLC for violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C § 1692 et seg. ("FDCPA").
- 4. The FDCPA prohibits debt collectors like Defendant from engaging in abusive, deceptive, and unfair practices.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE II.

- 5. This is a civil action to enforce liability created by the FDCPA.
- 6. 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) provides. "An action to enforce any liability created by this subchapter may be brought in any appropriate United States district court without regard

to the amount in controversy, or in any other court of competent jurisdiction, within one year from the date on which the violation occurs."

- 7. Jurisdiction of this court arises under 15 U.S.C § 1692k(d).
- 8. Jurisdiction of this court arises under 28 U.S.C § 1331.
- 9. Defendant is an entity with the capacity to sue and be sued in its common name.
- 10. Defendant does business within the State of Texas.
- 11. Defendant does business within this judicial district.
- 12. Defendant is subject to this court's personal jurisdiction.
- 13. Venue is proper in this judicial district.
- 14. Venue is proper in this judicial district under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2).

III. PARTIES

- 15. Plaintiff is a natural person.
- 16. Plaintiff is allegedly obligated to pay a debt.
- 17. Plaintiff is allegedly obligated to pay a debt to Defendant.
- 18. The alleged debt that Plaintiff is allegedly obligated to pay to Defendant allegedly arose out of a transaction in which the money, property, insurance, or services that are the subject of the transaction was primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.
- 19. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 20. The alleged debt that Plaintiff allegedly owes to Defendant, and that Defendant attempted to collect from Plaintiff, is a "debt" as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).
- 21. Defendant is a limited liability company.
- 22. Defendant is authorized to do business in the State of Texas.
- 23. Defendant's Texas Taxpayer Number is 32052883397.

- 24. Defendant's mailing address is 55 Monette Pkwy., Ste. 100, Smithfield, VA 23430-2577.
- 25. Defendant's Texas Secretary of State Registration Date is 01/09/2014.
- 26. Defendant's Texas Secretary of State File Number is 0801911703.
- 27. Defendant's Registered Agent Name is REGISTERED AGENT SOLUTIONS, INC.
- Defendant's Registered Office Street Address is 1701 Director's Blvd., Suite 300, Austin, TX 78744.
- 29. Defendant's Chief Operating Officer is Anthony Stile.
- 30. Defendant's Chief Executive Officer is Joshua Tawes.
- 31. Defendant is a person that uses one or more instrumentalities of interstate commerce, including telephone or the mails in their business to collect defaulted consumer debt.
- 32. Defendant regularly attempts to collect, directly or indirectly, debts owed or due or asserted to be owed or due another.
- 33. Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).
- 34. Defendant also acts as a debt collector through its agents, employees, officers, and/or representatives.

IV. FACTS

- 35. On or about June 8, 2020, Mr. James reviewed his consumer report on CreditKarma.com.
- 36. On the consumer report, Mr. James observed a tradeline from Defendant.
- 37. Defendant furnished consumer reporting agencies a tradeline of \$2,108 allegedly owed to Regional Finance Corporation by Plaintiff ("Alleged Debt").
- 38. On June 9, 2020, Plaintiff made a dispute the Alleged Debt with Defendant via telephone.
- 39. On July 24, 2020 Plaintiff checked his consumer reports again on CreditKarma.com.

- 40. In reviewing his consumer reports, Plaintiff was very frustrated to find that Defendant failed to communicate to one or more consumer reporting agencies that the Alleged Debt was disputed by Plaintiff.
- 41. Defendant's publishing of such inaccurate and incomplete information has severely damaged Plaintiff's personal and credit reputation and caused him severe humiliation, emotional distress, mental anguish, and more.
- 42. Defendant has continued to report and rereport to consumer reporting agencies the Alleged Debt concerning Plaintiff on Plaintiff's consumer reports to consumer reporting agencies every month or reporting cycle without noting the Alleged Debt as disputed.
- 43. Credit reporting or consumer credit reporting by a debt collector such as Defendant constitutes an attempt to collect a debt. (*See, e.g., Rivera v. Bank One*, 145 F.R.D. 614, 623 (D.P.R 1993) (a creditor's report of a debt to a consumer reporting agency is a powerful tool, designed in part to wrench compliance with payment terms from its cardholder.")).
- 44. Defendant through its alleged conduct herein materially lowered Plaintiff's credit score by failing to note the Alleged Debt as disputed when reporting it to consumer reporting agencies after Plaintiff disputed it.
- 45. A debt reported without dispute results in a much lower credit score than a report of both and the dispute. (See Sanders v. Branch Bank and Trust Co. of VA, 526 F. 3d 142, 146-47 (4th Cir. 2008).).
- 46. Even though Defendant knew on June 9, 2020, that Plaintiff disputed the Alleged Debt, Defendant failed to thereafter communicate to consumer reporting agencies that the Alleged Debt was disputed, thus violating 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(8).

V. COUNT I - Violation of 15 U.S.C. §1692e(8))

- 47. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 46.
- 48. Defendant violated the FDCPA.
- 49. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C § 1692e(8) by failing to communicate to one or more consumer reporting agencies that Alleged Debt was disputed by Plaintiff.
- 50. As a result of the above violations of the FDCPA, Defendants is liable Plaintiff under the FDCPA for actual damages, statutory damages, attorney's fees, and litigation costs.

VI. JURY DEMAND AND PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff RENADO WYNCELER JAMES demands a trial by jury and requests that this Court enter judgment against Defendant VANCEN AND HUFFMAN, LLC for:

- a) Actual damages in an amount to be determined under 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(1);
- b) Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 (U.S.) under 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2)(A);
- c) Costs of this action under 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3);
- d) Reasonable attorney's fees under 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3); and
- e) Such other and further relief that this court deems just and proper.

Date: July 28, 2020

Respectfully submitted,

Brian L. Ponder, Esq.

Attorney-in-Charge

New York Bar #: 5102751

Southern District of Texas Bar #: 2489894

BRIAN PONDER LLP

200 Park Avenue, Suite 1700

New York, New York 10166

Telephone: (646) 450-9461 Facsimile: (646) 607-9238

Email: <u>brian@brianponder.com</u>

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF